arXiv:1410.3175v1 [math.DS] 13 Oct 2014

MATING THE BASILICA WITH A SIEGEL DISK

JONGUK YANG

ABSTRACT. Let $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ be a quadratic polynomial with a fixed Siegel disc of bounded type. Using an adaptation of complex a priori bounds for critical circle maps, we prove that $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ is conformally mateable with the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}(z) := z^2 - 1$.

1. The Definition of Mating

The simplest non-linear examples of holomorphic dynamical systems are given by quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}$. By a linear change of coordinates, any quadratic polynomial can be uniquely normalized as

$$f_c(z) := z^2 + c, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This is referred to as the ***quadratic family***.

The critical points for f_c are ∞ and 0. Observe that ∞ is a superattracting fixed point for f_c. Let $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$ be the attracting basin of ∞. It follows from the maximum modulus principle that $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$ is a connected set. The complement of $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$ is called the filled Julia set K_c. The boundary of K_c is equal to the Julia set J_c.

The non-escape locus in the parameter space for f_c (referred to as the ***Mandelbrot set***) is defined as a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{M} := \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \notin \mathbf{A}_c^\infty\},$$

which is known to be connected (see [DH]). It is not difficult to prove that J_c is connected (and therefore, $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$ is simply connected) if and only if $c \in \mathcal{M}$. In fact, if $c \notin \mathcal{M}$, then $J_c = K_c$ is a Cantor set, and the dynamics of f_c restricted to J_c is conjugate to the dyadic shift map (see [M2]). We also define the following subset of the Mandelbrot set:

$$\mathcal{L} := \{c \in \mathcal{M} \mid J_c \text{ is locally connected}\}.$$

The quadratic family has been the center of attention in holomorphic dynamics for the past three decades, and we now have an almost complete understanding of its dynamics (see e.g. [M2]). It should be noted however, that the main conjecture in the field (the local connectedness property of the Mandelbrot set, or *MLC* for short) remains open (see [DH]).

Date: October 14, 2014.



FIGURE 1. The Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{M}$. The 1/2-limb $L_{1/2}$ is highlighted.

In contrast to the quadratic family, the dynamics of non-polynomial quadratic rational maps is still a wide open area of research. In this section, we describe a construction originally put forward by Douady and Hubbard (see [Do]) which produces quadratic rational maps by combining the dynamics of two quadratic polynomials.

Suppose $c \in \mathcal{L}$. Since J_c is connected, $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$ must be a simply connected domain. Let

$$\phi_c : \mathbf{A}_c^\infty \to \mathbb{D}$$

be the unique conformal Riemann mapping such that $\phi_c(\infty) = 0$, and $\phi_c'(\infty) > 0$. It is not difficult to prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}_c^\infty & \xrightarrow{f_c} & \mathbf{A}_c^\infty \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_c} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_c} \\ \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^2} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

and hence, ϕ_c is the Böttcher uniformization of f_c on $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$. Moreover, since J_c is locally connected, Carathéodory's theory implies that the inverse of ϕ_c extends continuously to the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$ (see [M1]). If we let

$$\tau_c := \phi_c^{-1}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}},$$

we obtain a continuous parametrization of J_c by the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ known as a ***Carathéodory loop***. Observe that f_c, when restricted to J_c, acts via τ_c as the angle doubling map:

$$f_c(\tau_c(t)) = \tau_c(2t).$$

Now, suppose $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{L}$. Using τ_{c_1} and τ_{c_2}, we can glue the dynamics of f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} together to construct a new dynamical system as follows.

First we construct a new dynamical space $K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2}$ by gluing the filled Julia sets K_{c_1} and K_{c_2}:

$$K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2} := (K_{c_1} \sqcup K_{c_2})/\{\tau_{c_1}(t) \sim \tau_{c_2}(-t)\}. \tag{1.1}$$

We refer to the resulting equivalence relation $\sim$ as ***ray equivalence***, and denote it by $\sim_{\text{ray}}$. For $x \in K_{c_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, we denote the ray equivalency class of x by $[x]_{\text{ray}}$.

We now define a new map

$$f_{c_1} \vee f_{c_2} : K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2} \to K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2},$$

called the ***formal mating of*** f_{c_1} ***and*** f_{c_2}, by letting $f_{c_1} \vee f_{c_2} \equiv f_{c_1}$ on K_{c_1} and $f_{c_1} \vee f_{c_2} \equiv f_{c_2}$ on K_{c_2}.



FIGURE 2. The Douady rabbit f_c, $c \approx -0.123 + 0.754i$, mated with the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.

If the space $K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2}$ is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere, then f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} are said to be ***topologically mateable***. If, in addition, there exists a quadratic rational map

R and a homeomorphism

$$\Lambda : K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$$

such that Λ is conformal on $\mathring{K}_{c_1} \sqcup \mathring{K}_{c_2} \subset K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2}$, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2} & \xrightarrow{f_{c_1} \vee f_{c_2}} & K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2} \\ \downarrow \Lambda & & \downarrow \Lambda \\ \hat{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{R} & \hat{\mathbb{C}} \end{array}$$

then f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} are said to be ***conformally mateable***. The quadratic rational map R is called a ***conformal mating of*** f_{c_1} ***and*** f_{c_2}. We also say that R ***realizes the conformal mating of*** f_{c_1} ***and*** f_{c_2}.

In applications, it is sometimes more useful to work with the following reformulation of the definition of conformal mateability:

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{L}$. Then f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} are conformally mateable if and only if there exists a pair of continuous maps*

$$\Lambda_1 : K_{c_1} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \Lambda_2 : K_{c_2} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$$

such that for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ the following three conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\Lambda_i(z) = \Lambda_j(w)$ *if and only if* $z \sim_{ray} w$

(ii) Λ_i *is conformal on* $\mathring{K}_{c_i}$

(iii) there exists a rational function R of degree 2 such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{c_1} & \xrightarrow{f_{c_1}} & K_{c_1} \\ \downarrow \Lambda_1 & & \downarrow \Lambda_1 \\ \hat{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{R} & \hat{\mathbb{C}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{c_2} & \xrightarrow{f_{c_2}} & K_{c_2} \\ \downarrow \Lambda_2 & & \downarrow \Lambda_2 \\ \hat{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{R} & \hat{\mathbb{C}} \end{array}$$

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose R is a conformal mating of f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{L}$. Then R has a locally connected Julia set $J(R)$.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda_1 : K_{c_1} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Lambda_2 : K_{c_2} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be as given in proposition 1.1. Note that

$$J(R) = \Lambda_1(J_{c_1}) = \Lambda_2(J_{c_2}).$$

Since the continuous image of a compact locally connected set is locally connected, the result follows. □

Example 1.3. For every $c \in \mathcal{L}$, f_c is trivially conformally mateable with the squaring map $f_0(z) = z^2$. This follows from choosing Λ_1 and Λ_2 in proposition 1.1 to be the identity map on K_c and the inverse of the Böttcher uniformization of f_c on $\mathbf{A}_c^\infty$ respectively. Note that the conformal mating of f_c and f_0 is given by f_c itself.

The converse is given by the following easy result:

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose a quadratic polynomial $P : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a conformal mating of f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{L}$. Then either f_{c_1} or f_{c_2} must be equal to the squaring map f_0.*

Proof. Let $J(P)$ and $\mathbf{A}_P^\infty$ denote the Julia set and the attracting basin of infinity for P respectively. We have

$$J(P) = \Lambda_1(J_{c_1}) = \Lambda_2(J_{c_2}).$$

Hence, $\mathbf{A}_P^\infty$ must be contained in either $\Lambda_1(\mathring{K}_{c_1})$ or $\Lambda_2(\mathring{K}_{c_2})$. Assume for concreteness that it is contained in the former. Since $\Lambda_1|_{\mathring{K}_{c_1}}$ is conformal, and

$$f_{c_1}(z) = \Lambda_1^{-1} \circ P \circ \Lambda_1(z)$$

for all $z \in K_{c_1}$, we see that $\Lambda_1^{-1}(\infty)$ must be a superattracting fixed point for f_{c_1}. The only member in the quadratic family that has a bounded superattracting fixed point is the squaring map f_0. □

By proposition 1.4, we see that except in the trivial case, the mating construction yields non-polynomial dynamical systems.

Example 1.5. Consider the formal mating of the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}(z) := f_{-1}(z) = z^2 - 1$ with itself. The glued space $K_{\mathbf{B}} \vee K_{\mathbf{B}}$ consists of infinitely many spheres connected together at discrete nodal points (refer to section 5.1). Hence, it is not homeomorphic to the 2-sphere. Therefore, $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ is not conformally mateable with itself (since it is not even topologically mateable with itself). This is actually a specific instance of a more general result, which we state below.

Let H_0 be the ***principal hyperbolic component*** defined as the set of $c \in \mathcal{M}$ for which f_c has an attracting fixed point $z_c \in \mathbb{C}$. It is conformally parametrized by the multiplier of z_c:

$$\lambda : c \mapsto \mu_c := f_c'(z_c)$$

(see e.g. [M2]). Note that λ extends to a homeomorphism between $\overline{H_0}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

A connected component of $\mathcal{M} \setminus \overline{H_0}$ is called a ***limb***. It is known (see e.g. [M2]) that the closure of every limb intersects ∂H_0 at a single point. Moreover, the image of this point under λ is a root of unity. Henceforth, the limb growing from the point $\lambda^{-1}(e^{2\pi ip/q})$, $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$, will be denoted by $L_{p/q}$. For example, the parameter value -1 for the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}(z) = z^2 - 1$ is contained in the 1/2-limb $L_{1/2}$.

The following standard observation is due to Douady [Do]:

Proposition 1.6. *Suppose c_1 and c_2 are contained in complex conjugate limbs $L_{p/q}$ and $L_{-p/q}$ of the Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{M}$. Then f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} are not topologically mateable.*

Proof. There exists a unique repelling fixed point $\alpha_1 \in K_1$ (resp. $\alpha_2 \in K_2$) such that $K_1 \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$ (resp. $K_2 \setminus \{\alpha_2\}$) is disconnected. Since c_1 and c_2 are contained in complex conjugate limbs, α_1 and α_2 are in the same ray equivalency class. Hence they are glued together to a single point in $K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2}$. Removing this single point from $K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2}$ leaves it disconnected, which is impossible if $K_{c_1} \vee K_{c_2}$ is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere. For more details, see [M2]. $\square$

2. Matings with the Basilica Polynomial

Matings can be particularly useful in describing the dynamics in certain one-parameter families of rational maps. The best studied example of such a family is

$$R_a(z) := \frac{a}{z^2 + 2z}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

which is referred to as the ***basilica family***.

The critical points for R_a are ∞ and -1. Observe that $\{\infty, 0\}$ is a superattracting 2-periodic orbit for R_a. Let $\mathcal{A}_a^\infty$ be the attracting basin of $\{\infty, 0\}$. The boundary of $\mathcal{A}_a^\infty$ is equal to the Julia set $J(R_a)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a quadratic rational map with a superattracting 2-periodic orbit. Then f can be normalized as R_a for some unique $a \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ by a linear change of coordinates.*

Proof. First we show that any quadratic rational map f that has a superattracting 2-periodic orbit $\{\infty, 0\}$ with critical points at ∞ and -1 must be of the form R_a for some unique $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

To this end, let

$$f(z) = \frac{a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0}{b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0}.$$

If $f(\infty) = 0$ then $a_2 = 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$. If $f(0) = \infty$, then $a_0 \neq 0$ and $b_0 = 0$. Hence, f can be uniquely expressed as

$$f(z) = \frac{a_1 z + a_0}{z^2 + b_1 z}.$$

If $a_1 \neq 0$, then for r sufficiently large,

$$f(re^\theta) \sim \frac{a_1}{r} e^{-\theta}.$$

Which implies that ∞ cannot be a critical point for f by the argument principle. Hence, $a_1 = 0$.

Finally, we compute

$$f'(-1) = \frac{2a_0 - a_0 b_1}{(1 - b_1)^2} = 0.$$

Hence, $b_1 = 2$.

In the general case, suppose $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ has a superattracting 2-periodic orbit $\{z_\infty, z_0\}$ and critical points at z_∞ and z_{-1}. Then there exists a unique linear change of coordinates which sends z_∞ to ∞, z_0 to 0, and z_{-1} to -1. The result follows. □

Analogously to $\mathcal{M}$, the non-escape locus in the parameter space for R_a is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}} := \{a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid -1 \notin \mathcal{A}_a^\infty\}.$$

We also define the following subset of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}} := \{a \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mid J(R_a) \text{ is locally connected}\}.$$



FIGURE 3. The non-escape locus $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}}$ for R_a (in black). Compare with figure 1. Note the absence of a copy of the 1/2-limb $L_{1/2}$ (see example 1.5).

The basilica polynomial

$$f_{\mathbf{B}}(z) := z^2 - 1$$

is the only member of the quadratic family that has a superattracting 2-periodic orbit. Let $K_{\mathbf{B}}$ be the filled Julia set for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$. The following result is an analogue of the Böttcher uniformization theorem for the quadratic family. Refer to [AY] for the proof.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}}$. Then there exists a unique conformal map $\psi_a : \mathcal{A}_a^\infty \to \mathring{K}_{\mathbf{B}}$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_a^\infty & \xrightarrow{R_a} & \mathcal{A}_a^\infty \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_a} \\ \mathring{K}_{\mathbf{B}} & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbf{B}}} & \mathring{K}_{\mathbf{B}} \end{array}$$

Moreover, if B is a connected component of $\mathcal{A}_a^\infty$, then ϕ_a extends to a homeomorphism between $\overline{B}$ and $\phi_a(\overline{B})$.

Suppose for some $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$, f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable. If $F : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a conformal mating of f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$, then F has a superattracting 2-periodic orbit. By proposition 2.1, F can be normalized as R_a for some $a \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}$.

In view of proposition 2.2, it is natural to ask whether for every $a \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}$, R_a is a conformal mating of f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ for some $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$. It turns out this cannot be true: for some $a \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}$, R_a is the result of a more general form of mating called *mating with laminations* between f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ with $c \notin \mathcal{L}$ (see [Du]). However, the following weaker statement does hold. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of proposition 1.4, so we omit it here.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose R_a is a conformal mating. Then R_a is a conformal mating of f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ for some $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$.*

The principal motivation for this paper is to answer the following question:

The Main Question. *Suppose $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$. Are f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ conformally mateable? If so, is there a unique member of the basilica family that realizes their conformal mating?*

We now summarize the known results on this topic.

Theorem 2.4 (Rees, Tan, Shishikura [Re, Tan, S])**.** *Suppose $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$. If f_c is hyperbolic, then f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable. Moreover, their conformal mating is unique up to conjugacy by a Möbius map.*

Theorem 2.4 is actually a corollary of a much more general result which states that two post-critically finite quadratic polynomials f_{c_1} and f_{c_2} are (essentially) mateable if and only if c_1 and c_2 do not belong to conjugate limbs of the Mandelbrot set. See [Tan] for more details.

Theorem 2.5 (Aspenberg, Yampolsky [AY])**.** *Suppose $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$. If f_c is at most finitely renormalizable and has no non-repelling periodic orbits, then f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable. Moreover, their conformal mating is unique up to conjugacy by a Möbius map.*

Theorem 2.6 (Dudko [Du])**.** *Suppose $c \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus L_{1/2})$. If f_c is at least 4 times renormalizable, then f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable. Moreover, their conformal mating is unique up to conjugacy by a Möbius map.*

Together, theorem 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 provide a positive answer to the main question in almost all cases. However, the parameters contained in the boundary of hyperbolic components that are not too "deep" inside the Mandelbrot set are still left unresolved. We discuss these parameters in greater detail in the next section.

3. Matings in the Boundary of Hyperbolic Components

Let H be a hyperbolic component of $\mathcal{M} \setminus L_{1/2}$. By theorem 2.4, the quadratic polynomial f_c and the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable for all $c \in H$. Our goal is to determine if this is also true for $c \in \partial H \cap \mathcal{L}$.

Choose a parameter value $c_0 \in H$, and let $a_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}}$ be a parameter value such that R_{a_0} is a conformal mating of f_{c_0} and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$. Since R_{a_0} must be hyperbolic, a_0 is contained in some hyperbolic component $H_{\mathbf{B}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}}$.

For all $c \in \overline{H}$, f_c has a non-repelling n-periodic orbit $\mathbf{O}_c := \{f_c^i(z_c)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [M2]). Likewise, for all $a \in \overline{H_{\mathbf{B}}}$, R_a has a non-repelling n-periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}_a := \{R_a^i(w_a)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$. Define the multiplier maps $\lambda : \overline{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\mu : \overline{H_{\mathbf{B}}} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ by:

$$\lambda(c) := (f_c^i)'(z_c) \text{ and } \mu(a) := (R_a^i)'(w_a).$$

It is known that λ and μ are homeomorphisms which are conformal on the interior of their domains (see [M2]).

The following result can be proved using a standard application of quasiconformal surgery (see chapter 4 in [BF]).

Proposition 3.1. *Define a homeomorphism $\phi_H : \overline{H} \to \overline{H_{\mathbf{B}}}$ by*

$$\phi_H := \mu^{-1} \circ \lambda.$$

Then for all $c \in H$, $R_{\phi_H(c)}$ is a conformal mating of f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.

Our goal is to extend this result to the boundary of H where possible.

Consider $c \in \partial H$, and let $a = \phi_H(c) \in \partial H_{\mathbf{B}}$. The multiplier of $\mathbf{O}_c$ and $\mathcal{O}_a$ is equal to $e^{2\pi\theta i}$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The number θ is referred to as the ***rotation number***. If θ is rational, then $\mathbf{O}_c$ and $\mathcal{O}_a$ are parabolic. In this case, an application of trans-quasiconformal surgery due to Haïssinsky implies the following result (see [Ha]).

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that the rotation number θ is rational, so that $\mathbf{O}_c$ and $\mathcal{O}_a$ are parabolic. Then f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable, and R_a is the unique member of the basilica family that realizes their conformal mating.*

If θ is irrational, then $\mathbf{O}_c$ is either Siegel or Cremer. In the latter case, it is known that the Julia set J_c for f_c is non-locally connected (see e.g. [M1]). This means that the formal mating of f_c and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ cannot be defined, and hence, they are not conformally mateable.

For our discussion of the Siegel case, we first recall a classical result of Siegel [S]. An irrational number x is said to be ***Diophantine of order*** κ if there exists a fixed constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\frac{p}{q} \subset \mathbb{Q}$, the following inequality holds:

$$|x - \frac{p}{q}| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{q^\kappa}.$$

The set of all irrational numbers that are Diophantine of order κ is denoted $\mathcal{D}(\kappa)$. The smallest possible value of κ such that $\mathcal{D}(\kappa)$ is non-empty is 2 (see [M1]).

Theorem 3.3 (Siegel [S])**.** *Let $f : U \to V$ be an analytic function. Suppose f has an indifferent periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}$ with an irrational rotation number θ. If $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\kappa)$ for some $\kappa \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{O}$ is a Siegel orbit.*

There is a classical connection between Diophantine classes and continued fraction approximations (see e.g. [M1]). In particular, if

$$x = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}$$

is the continued fraction representation of x, then $x \in \mathcal{D}(2)$ if and only if all the a_i's are uniformly bounded. In view of this, we say that the numbers $x \in \mathcal{D}(2)$ are of ***bounded type***. Siegel quadratic polynomials of bounded type are prominently featured in the study of renormalization (see e.g. [P, Mc, Y1, Y2]).

Theorem 3.4 (Peterson [P])**.** *Suppose a quadratic polynomial f_c has an indifferent periodic orbit with an irrational rotation number of bounded type. Then f_c has a locally connected Julia set J_c.*

In this paper, we present a positive answer to the main question (stated in section 2) for quadratic polynomials $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ that have an indifferent fixed point with an irrational rotation number of bounded type. Note that by theorem 3.3, the indifferent fixed point is Siegel, and by theorem 3.4, the formal mating of $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ is well defined.

The solution to the uniqueness part of the main question is elementary.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then there exists a unique $c \in \mathcal{M}$ (resp. $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{B}}$) such that f_c (resp. R_a) has a bounded non-repelling fixed point $z_0 \neq \infty$ with multiplier λ.*

Proof. Suppose f_c has a fixed point $z_0 \neq \infty$ with multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to check that the value of c is given by

$$c = \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}.$$

Hence, c is uniquely determined.

Likewise, suppose R_a has a fixed point with multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the value of a is given by

$$a = -\frac{8\lambda}{(\lambda - 1)^3}.$$

Hence, a is uniquely determined. □

Our main results are stated below.

Theorem A. *Suppose $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is of bounded type. Let R_ν be the unique member of the basilica family that has a Siegel fixed point z_0 with rotation number ν. Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be the fixed Siegel disc containing z_0. Then $\mathcal{S}_0$ is a quasidisk, and contains a unique critical point in its boundary.*

Theorem B. *Suppose $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is of bounded type. Let $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ be the unique member of the quadratic family that has a Siegel fixed point with rotation number ν. Then $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ are conformally mateable, and R_ν is the unique member of the basilica family that realizes their conformal mating.*



FIGURE 4. Mating of a Siegel polynomial f_c, $c = \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}$, $\lambda = e^{(\sqrt{5}-1)\pi i}$, and the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}$. The Siegel disc is highlighted.

4. The Construction of a Blaschke Product Model and the Proof of Theorem A

Suppose $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is of bounded type. It follows from proposition 3.5 that there exists a unique member of the basilica family R_ν that has a fixed Siegel disc $\mathcal{S}_0$ with rotation number ν. In this section, we use quasiconformal surgery to show that $\mathcal{S}_0$ is a quasidisc. Refer to [BF] for generalities about quasiconformal maps and quasiconformal surgeries.

Consider the Blaschke product

$$F_{a,b}(z) := -\frac{1}{e^{i\theta}} \frac{z(z-a)(z-b)}{(1-\bar{a}z)(1-\bar{b}z)},$$

where

$$ab = re^{i\theta}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Note that 0 is a fixed point with multiplier $-r$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any value of r and θ, $a = a(r,\theta)$ and $b = b(r,\theta)$ can be chosen such that $F_{a,b}$ has a double critical point at 1.*

Proof. Let

$$F'_{a,b}(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}.$$

Then

$$F''_{a,b}(z) = \frac{P'(z)Q(z) - P(z)Q'(z)}{Q(z)^2}.$$

Thus, the condition

$$F'_{a,b}(1) = F''_{a,b}(1) = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$P(1) = P'(1) = 0.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$P(z) = \overline{\kappa}z^4 - 2\overline{\zeta}z^3 + (3 - |\kappa|^2 + |\zeta|^2)z^2 - 2\zeta z + \kappa,$$

where

$$\kappa := ab, \quad \zeta := a + b.$$

Thus, $F_{a,b}$ has a double critical point at 1 if the following two equations are satisfied:

$$2\kappa - 3\zeta + (3 - |\kappa|^2 + |\zeta|^2) = \overline{\zeta} \tag{4.1}$$

$$3\kappa - 2\zeta + (3 - |\kappa|^2 + |\zeta|^2) = \overline{\kappa}. \tag{4.2}$$

Subtracting (4.1) from (4.2), we see that

$$\kappa - \zeta = \overline{\kappa} - \overline{\zeta}.$$

Substituting $\kappa = x + iy$ and $\zeta = u + iy$ into (4.1), we obtain

$$u^2 - 4u + (2x - x^2 + 3) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

(4.3) has two solutions: $u = -x + 3$ and $u = x + 1$. The first solution corresponds to the relation

$$\zeta = -\overline{\kappa} + 3.$$

Therefore, by choosing a and b to be the solutions of

$$z^2 + (re^{-i\theta} - 3)z + re^{i\theta} = 0,$$

we ensure that the map $F_{a,b}$ has a double critical point at 1. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a = a(r, \theta)$ and $b = b(r, \theta)$ satisfy the condition in lemma 4.1. Then for all $r > 1$ sufficiently close to 1, there exists a local holomorphic change of coordinates ϕ at 0 so that the map $G := \phi^{-1} \circ F_{a,b}^2 \circ \phi$ takes the form*

$$G(z) = r^2 z(1 + z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z)).$$

Proof. Expanding $F_{a,b}(z)$ as a power series around 0, we have

$$F_{a,b}(z) = -rz + \lambda z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^3)$$

for some $\lambda = \lambda(r, \theta)$ depending continuously on r and θ. Define

$$\psi_\mu(z) := z + \mu z^2, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$H(z) := \psi_\mu^{-1} \circ F_{a,b} \circ \psi_\mu(z) = -rz + (\lambda + (1 + r)\mu)z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^3).$$

Thus, by choosing $\mu = \frac{-\lambda}{1+r}$, we have

$$H(z) = -rz(1 + \nu z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^3))$$

for some $\nu = \nu(r, \theta)$ depending continuously on r and θ.

Observe that the second iterate of H is equal to

$$H^2(z) = r^2 z(1 + (1 + r^2)\nu z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^3)).$$

When $r = 1$, 0 is a parabolic fixed point of multiplicity 2. This means that $\nu(1, \theta)$ cannot be equal to zero for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Hence, for some $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $\nu(r, \theta)$ is not equal to zero for all $r \in (1, 1+\epsilon)$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. After one more change of coordinates, we arrive at

$$G(z) := \sqrt{(1 + r^2)\nu} \cdot H^2\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{(1 + r^2)\nu}}\right) = r^2 z(1 + z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^3)).$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a = a(r,\theta)$ and $b = b(r,\theta)$ satisfy the condition in lemma 4.1. Then for all $r > 1$ sufficiently close to 1, $F_{a,b}$ has an attracting 2-periodic orbit near 0.*

Proof. Consider the map $G := \phi^{-1} \circ F_{a,b}^2 \circ \phi$ defined in lemma 4.2. We prove that G has two attracting fixed points near 0.

Observe that G satisfies

$$|G(z)| = r^2|z|(1 + \mathrm{Re}(z^2) + (\text{higher terms}))$$

and

$$\arg(G(z)) = \arg(z) + \mathrm{Im}(z^2) + (\text{higher terms}).$$

Consider the wedge shaped regions

$$V_\epsilon^+ := \{\rho e^{2\pi i t} \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \le \rho \le \epsilon, \frac{3}{16} \le t \le \frac{5}{16}\}$$

and

$$V_\epsilon^- := -V_\epsilon^+.$$

It is easily checked that $G(V_\epsilon^+) \subset V_\epsilon^+$ and $G(V_\epsilon^-) \subset V_\epsilon^-$. Since 0 is the only fixed point on the boundary of these regions, and it is repelling, V_ϵ^+ and V_ϵ^- must each contain an attracting fixed point for G. □

Theorem 4.4. *Given any angle $\nu \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a Blaschke product F_ν that satisfy the following three properties:*

(i) F_ν has a superattracting 2-periodic orbit $\mathcal{O} = \{\infty, F_\nu(\infty)\}$ with $F_\nu'(\infty) = 0$.
(ii) F_ν has a double critical point at 1.
(iii) The rotation number of the map $F_\nu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ is equal to ν.

Proof. The family of Blaschke products $\{F_{a,b}\}$ that satisfy lemma 4.1 and 4.3 are continuously parameterized by r and θ. Let $\rho(r,\theta)$ denote the rotation number of the map $F_{a,b}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$. In [YZ], it is proved that $\rho(1,\cdot)$ is not nullhomotopic. By continuity, $\rho(r,\cdot)$ is also not nullhomotopic. Thus, for any angle $\nu \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists θ such that $\rho(r,\theta) = \nu$.

So far, we have proved the existence of a Blaschke product $F_{a,b}$ that has an attracting 2-periodic orbit near zero, has a double critical point at 1, and whose restriction to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ has rotation number equal to ν. A standard application of quasiconformal surgery turns the attracting 2-periodic orbits of $F_{a,b}$ into superattracting orbits (the surgery must be symmetric with respect to the unit circle to ensure that the resulting map is also a Blaschke product). Then after conjugating by the appropriate Blaschke factor, we obtain the desired map F_ν. □

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose ν is irrational and of bounded type. Let F_ν be the Blaschke product constructed in theorem 4.4. Then there exists a quadratic rational function R_ν and quasiconformal maps $\psi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, and $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that ψ fixes 0 and 1; ϕ fixes 0, 1 and ∞; and*

$$R_\nu(z) = \begin{cases} \phi \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Rot}_\nu \circ \psi \circ \phi^{-1}(z) & : \text{if } z \in \phi(\mathbb{D}) \\ \phi \circ F_\nu \circ \phi^{-1}(z) & : \text{if } z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \phi(\mathbb{D}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since ν is of bounded type, there exists a unique homeomorphism $\psi : \partial\mathbb{D} \to \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $\psi(1) = 1$, and

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Rot}_\nu \circ \psi = F_\nu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}.$$

Moreover, ψ extends to a quasiconformal map on $\mathbb{D}$.

Define

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \psi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Rot}_\nu \circ \psi(z) & : \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D} \\ F_\nu(z) & : \text{if } z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

By construction, g is continuous.

To obtain a holomorphic map with the same dynamics as g, we define and integrate a new complex structure μ on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Start by defining μ on $\mathbb{D}$ as the pull back of the standard complex structure σ_0 by ψ. Next, pull back μ on $\mathbb{D}$ by the iterates of g to define μ on the iterated preimages of $\mathbb{D}$. Finally, extend μ to the rest of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ as the standard complex structure σ_0.

Let $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be the unique solution of the Beltrami equation

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}\phi(z) = \mu(z)\partial_z\phi(z)$$

for which ϕ is a quasiconformal map fixing the points 0, 1 and ∞. The map

$$R_\nu := \phi \circ g \circ \phi^{-1}$$

gives us the desired quadratic rational function. □

Theorem A stated in 3 now follows as a corollary of theorem 4.5.



FIGURE 5. Illustration of the quasiconformal surgery in theorem 4.5.

5. The Construction of Bubble Rays

5.1. **For the basilica polynomial.**

Consider the basilica polynomial

$$f_{\mathbf{B}} := z^2 - 1.$$

$f_{\mathbf{B}}$ has a superattracting 2-periodic orbit $\{0, -1\}$, and hence, is hyperbolic. Denote the Julia set and the filled Julia set for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ by $J_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $K_{\mathbf{B}}$ respectively. The following is a consequence of the hyperbolicity of $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ (see e.g. [M1]).

Proposition 5.1. *The Julia set $J_{\mathbf{B}}$ for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ is locally connected.*

A connected component of $\mathbf{B} := \mathring{K}_{\mathbf{B}}$ is called a ***bubble***. Let $\mathbf{B}_0$ be the bubble containing the critical point 0. We have

$$\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{\mathbf{B}}^{-n}(\mathbf{B}_0).$$

Let $B \subset \mathbf{B}$ be a bubble. The ***generation of*** B, denoted by $\mathrm{gen}(B)$, is defined to be the smallest number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{B}}^n(B) = \mathbf{B}_0$.

Proposition 5.2. *There exists a unique repelling fixed point* $\mathbf{b}$ *contained in* $\partial\mathbf{B}_0$.

Note that the repelling fixed point $\mathbf{b}$ in proposition 5.2 is the α-fixed point of $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ (see [M2]).

Let $b \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ be an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$. The ***generation of*** b, denoted by $\mathrm{gen}(b)$, is defined to be the smallest number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{B}}^n(b) = \mathbf{b}$. Suppose b is contained in the boundary of some bubble B. If the generation of b is the smallest among all iterated preimages of $\mathbf{b}$ that are contained in ∂B, then b is called the ***root of*** B.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $b \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ be an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$. Then there are exactly two bubbles B_1 and B_2 in $\mathbf{B}$ which contain b in their closures.*

Proof. There are exactly two bubbles, $\mathbf{B}_0$ and $f_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}_0)$, that contain $\mathbf{b}$ in their closure. There exists a neighbourhood N containing b such that N is mapped conformally onto a neighbourhood of $\mathbf{b}$ by $f_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{gen}(b)}$. The result follows. □

Let $b \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ be an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$, and let B_1 and B_2 be the two bubbles that contain b in their closures. Suppose $\mathrm{gen}(B_1) > \mathrm{gen}(B_2)$. Then B_1 and B_2 are referred to as the ***parent*** and the ***child at*** b respectively. It is easy to see that b must be the root of B_2.

Consider a set of bubbles $\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n$ in $\mathbf{B}$, and a set of iterated preimages $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\mathbf{b}$ such that the following properties are satisfied:

(i) $B_0 = \mathbf{B}_0$.
(ii) B_i and B_{i+1} are the parent and the child at b_{i+1} respectively.

The set

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}} := \overline{f_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}_0)} \cup (\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \overline{B_i})$$

is called a ***bubble ray for*** $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ (the inclusion of $\overline{f_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}_0)}$ is to ensure that a bubble ray is mapped to a bubble ray). For conciseness, we use the notation $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}} \sim \{B_i\}_{i=0}^n$. $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ is said to be ***finite*** or ***infinite*** according to whether $n < \infty$ or $n = \infty$. Lastly, $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is called the ***set of attachment points for*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$.

Let $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}} \sim \{B_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be an infinite bubble ray. We say that $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ ***lands at*** $z \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ if the sequence of bubbles $\{B_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ converges to z in the Hausdorff topology. The following result is a consequence of the hyperbolicity of $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ (see [DH]).

Proposition 5.4. *There exists $0 < s < 1$, and $C > 0$ such that for every bubble $B \subset \mathbf{B}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{diam}(B) < C s^{\mathrm{gen}(B)}.$$

Consequently, every infinite bubble ray for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ lands.

Denote the attracting basin of infinity for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ by $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\infty}$. Let

$$\phi_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\infty}} : \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\infty} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

and

$$\phi_{\mathbf{B}_0} : \mathbf{B}_0 \to \mathbb{D}$$

be the Böttcher uniformization of $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0$ respectively. Using $\phi_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\infty}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{B}_0}$, we can encode the dynamics of bubble rays for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ in two different ways: via external angles, and via bubble addresses.

Suppose that $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ is an infinite bubble ray, and let $z \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ be its landing point. Then there exists a unique external ray

$$\mathcal{R}_{-t}^{\infty} := \{\arg(\phi_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\infty}}) = -t\}$$

which lands on z. The ***external angle of*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ is defined to be t. Henceforth, the infinite bubble ray with external angle t will be denoted $\mathcal{R}_t^{\mathbf{B}}$.

Let $b \in \partial\mathbf{B}_0$ be an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$. Define

$$\operatorname{adr}(b) := \arg(\phi_{\mathbf{B}_0}(b)).$$

If b' is an interated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$, and $b' \notin \partial\mathbf{B}_0$, then there exists a unique bubble $B \subset \mathbf{B}$ such that B is the parent at b. In this case, define

$$\operatorname{adr}(b') := \operatorname{adr}(f_{\mathbf{B}}^{\operatorname{gen}(B)}(b')).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ be a bubble ray and let $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^{n}$ be the set of attachment points for $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$. The ***bubble address of*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ is defined to be

$$\operatorname{adr}(\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}) := (\operatorname{adr}(b_1),\ \operatorname{adr}(b_2),\ \ldots,\ \operatorname{adr}(b_n)),$$

where the tuple is interpreted to be infinite if $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ is an infinite bubble ray.

If $B \subset \mathbf{B}$ is a bubble, then there exists a unique finite bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}} \sim \{B_i\}_{i=0}^{n}$ such that $B = B_n$. The ***bubble address of*** B is defined to be

$$\operatorname{adr}(B) := \operatorname{adr}(\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}).$$

5.2. **For the Siegel polynomial.**

Suppose $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is of bounded type, and let $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ be the unique member of the quadratic family that has a fixed Siegel disc $\mathbf{S}_0$ with rotation number ν. Denote the Julia set and the filled Julia set for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ by $J_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $K_{\mathbf{S}}$ respectively. By proposition 3.4, $J_{\mathbf{S}}$ is locally connected. A quasiconformal surgery procedure due to Douady, Ghys, Herman, and Shishikura (see e.g. [P]) implies the following:

Theorem 5.5. *The Siegel disc* $\mathbf{S}_0$ *is a quasidisc whose boundary contains the critical point* 0*.*

A connected component of $\mathbf{S} := \mathring{K}_{\mathbf{S}}$ is called a ***bubble***. Note that

$$\mathbf{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{\mathcal{S}}^{-n}(\mathbf{S}_0).$$



FIGURE 6. The infinite bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_t$, $t \approx -0.143$, for the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.

Let $S \subset \mathbf{S}$ be a bubble. The ***generation of*** S, denoted by $\text{gen}(S)$, is defined to be the smallest number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n_{\mathbf{S}}(S) = \mathbf{S}_0$. Similarly, let $s \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$ be an iterated preimage of 0. The ***generation of*** s, denoted by $\text{gen}(s)$, is defined to be the smallest number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n_{\mathbf{S}}(s) = 0$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $s \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$ be an iterated preimage of the critical point 0. Then there are exactly two bubbles S_1 and S_2 in $\mathbf{S}$ which contain s in their closure.*

The construction of a ***bubble ray*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}$ for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ is completely analogous to the construction of a bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$. The following proposition is a consequence of complex a priori bounds due to Yampolsky (see [Y1]). It is proved in the same way as proposition 8.5.

Proposition 5.7. *Every infinite bubble ray for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ lands.*

Denote the attracting basin of infinity for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ by $\mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{S}}$. Let

$$\phi_{\mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{S}}} : \mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{S}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

be the Böttcher uniformization of $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ on $\mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{S}}$.

Suppose $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}$ is an infinite bubble ray, and let $z \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$ be its landing point. Then there exists a unique external ray

$$\mathcal{R}^{\infty}_t := \{\arg(\phi_{\mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{S}}}) = t\}$$

which lands on z. The ***external angle of*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}$ is defined to be t. Henceforth, the infinite bubble ray with external angle t will be denoted $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_t$.

Let $s \in \partial \mathbf{S}_0$ be an iterated preimage of 0. Define

$$\mathrm{adr}(s) := \mathrm{gen}(s).$$

The ***bubble address of a bubble*** $S \subset \mathbf{S}$ for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ can now be defined in the same way as its counterpart for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.



FIGURE 7. The infinite bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_{\frac{1}{7}}$ for the Siegel polynomial $f_{\mathbf{S}}$.

5.3. **For the candidate mating.**

Consider the quadratic rational function R_ν constructed in theorem 4.5. Denote the Fatou set and the Julia set for R_ν by $F(R_\nu)$ and $J(R_\nu)$ respectively. A connected component of $F(R_\nu)$ is called a ***bubble***.

The critical points for R_ν are ∞ and 1. $\{\infty, R_\nu(\infty)\}$ is a superattracting 2-periodic orbit, and thus is contained in $F(R_\nu)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ be the bubble containing ∞. The set

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_\nu^{-n}(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$$

is the basin of attraction for $\{\infty, R_\nu(\infty)\}$.

The critical point 1 is contained in the boundary of the Siegel disc $\mathcal{S}_0$. Consider the set of iterated preimages of $\mathcal{S}_0$

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_\nu^{-n}(\mathcal{S}_0),$$

It is easy to see that $F(R_\nu) = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 5.8. *Suppose $U \subset F(R_\nu)$ is a bubble. Then ∂U is locally connected.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from proposition 2.2 and theorem A. □

Proposition 5.9. *There exists a unique repelling fixed point β contained in $\partial\mathcal{B}_\infty$.*

Proposition 5.10. *Let u be an iterated preimage of β (resp. of 1). Then there are exactly two bubbles U_1 and U_2 in $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}$) which contain u in their closure.*

A ***bubble ray*** for R_ν can be constructed using bubbles in either $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{S}$. In the former case, the bubble ray is denoted $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$, and in the latter case, it is denoted $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$. The details of the construction will be omitted as it is very similar to the construction of a bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}$ for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ or $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}$ for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$.

The ***bubble address of a bubble*** $U \subset F(R_\nu)$ for R_ν is defined in the same way as its counterpart for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ or $f_{\mathbf{S}}$. However, since R_ν is not a polynomial, the external angle of a bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$ or $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$ cannot be defined using external rays. To circumvent this problem, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 5.11. *There exists a unique conformal map $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}} : \mathbf{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that the bubble addresses are preserved, and the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbf{B}}} & \mathbf{B} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}} \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{R_\nu} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

Likewise, there exists a unique conformal map $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}} : \mathbf{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ such that the bubble addresses are preserved, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S} & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbf{S}}} & \mathbf{S} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}} \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{R_\nu} & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $B \subset \mathbf{B}$ (resp. $S \subset \mathbf{S}$) is a bubble, then $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ (resp. $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$) extends to a homeomorphism between $\overline{B}$ and $\overline{\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(B)}$ (resp. $\overline{S}$ and $\overline{\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(S)}$).

Proof. For each bubble $B \subset \mathbf{B}$, there exists a unique bubble $B' \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\mathrm{adr}(B) = \mathrm{adr}(B').$$

Define $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}|_B$ to be the unique conformal map between B and B' which sends the root of B to the root of B'. Then by construction, $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ conjugates the dynamics of $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ and R_ν restricted to $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Moreover, $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ extends continuously to boundary of bubbles by proposition 5.8.

The map $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ is similarly defined. □

Let $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}} \sim \{B_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}} \sim \{S_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$) be an infinite bubble ray for R_ν. The ***external angle of*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$ (resp. ***of*** $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$) is defined to be the external angle of the infinite bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}} \sim \{\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}(B_i)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}} \sim \{\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}(S_i)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$) for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ (resp. $f_{\mathbf{S}}$). Henceforth, the infinite bubble rays for R_ν with external angle t will be denoted $\mathcal{R}_t^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_t^{\mathcal{S}}$.



FIGURE 8. The infinite bubble rays $\mathcal{R}_t^{\mathcal{B}}$, $t \approx -0.143$, and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\frac{1}{7}}$ for R_ν.

6. The Construction of Puzzle Partitions

6.1. **For the basilica polynomial.**

Consider the basilica polynomial $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ discussed in section 5.1. By definition, the bubble ray $\mathcal{R}_0^{\mathbf{B}}$ and the external ray $\mathcal{R}_0^{\infty}$ both land at the same repelling fixed point $\mathbf{k_B} \in \mathbb{C}$. The ***puzzle partition of level*** n for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbf{B}} := \overline{f_{\mathbf{B}}^{-n}(\mathcal{R}_0^{\mathbf{B}} \cup \mathcal{R}_0^{\infty})}.$$

Note that the puzzle partitions form a nested sequence: $\mathcal{P}_0^{\mathbf{B}} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_1^{\mathbf{B}} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_2^{\mathbf{B}} \dots$. A ***puzzle piece of level*** n for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the closure of a connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbf{B}}$. By construction, a puzzle piece of level n is mapped homeomorphically onto a puzzle piece of level $n-1$ by $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.

Let $P^{\mathbf{B}}$ be a puzzle piece of level n. Then $P^{\mathbf{B}}$ is bounded by two bubble rays $\mathcal{R}_{t_1}^{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{t_2}^{\mathbf{B}}$, and two external rays $\mathcal{R}_{-t_1}^{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{-t_2}^{\infty}$, where $t_1 = \frac{i}{2^n}$ and $t_2 = \frac{i+1}{2^n}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$. The closed interval $[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n}] \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is referred to as the ***angular span of*** $P^{\mathbf{B}}$. Henceforth, the puzzle piece for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ with angular span $[t_1, t_2]$ will be denoted $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$.



Figure 9. The puzzle partition of level 2 and 3 for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$ be a puzzle piece. If $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, then $\mathcal{R}_{-t}^{\infty} \subset P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$.*

A ***nested puzzle sequence at*** x is a collection of puzzle pieces

$$\Pi^{\mathbf{B}} = \{P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$$

such that for all $k \geq 0$, $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_{k+1},t_{k+1}]} \subset P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}$. Note that this is equivalent to the condition that $[s_{k+1}, t_{k+1}] \subset [s_k, t_k]$. The set

$$L(\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}) := \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}$$

is called the ***limit of*** $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}$.

The ***external angle*** $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ***of*** $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}$ is defined by

$$\{t\} = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} [s_k, t_k].$$

Henceforth, a nested puzzle sequence for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ with external angle $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ will be denoted by $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t$.

A nested puzzle sequence $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t$ is said to be ***maximal*** if there is no nested puzzle sequence which contains $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t$ as a proper subset. If two nested puzzle sequences are contained in the same maximal nested puzzle sequence, they are said to be ***equivalent***.

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_s$ and $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t$ are two equivalent nested puzzle sequences. Then $s = t$, and $L(\Pi^{\mathbf{E}}_s) = L(\Pi^{\mathbf{E}}_t)$.*

Proof. Let $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_s = \{P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, and let $\hat{\Pi}^{\mathbf{B}}_u = \{P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[r_k,u_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the maximal nested puzzle sequence containing $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_s$. Since $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]} \subseteq P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[r_k,u_k]}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$L(\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_s) \subset L(\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_u).$$

On the other hand, since $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_s \subset \hat{\Pi}^{\mathbf{B}}_u$, we have

$$L(\hat{\Pi}^{\mathbf{B}}_u) \subset L(\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_s).$$

The proof that $s = t$ is similar. □

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t := \{P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a nested puzzle sequence. Then*

$$L(\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t) = \mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{-t} \cup \{x\},$$

where $x \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the landing point of $\mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{-t}$.

Proof. Observe that for each k, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{B}} \cap P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]} = \bigcup_{s \in [s_k,t_k]} \mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{-s}.$$

Since

$$J_{\mathbf{B}} \cap P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]} = K_{\mathbf{B}} \cap \partial(P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]} \cap \mathbf{A}^{\infty}_{\mathbf{B}}),$$

we see that $J_{\mathbf{B}} \cap P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}$ consists of landing points of $\mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{-s}$, $s \in [s_k, t_k]$.

If $s \neq t$, then for k sufficiently large, $s \notin [s_k, t_k]$, which means the landing point of $\mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{-s}$ is not included in $L(\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t)$. The result follows. □

Proposition 6.4. *Let $x \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$. If x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_B}$ or $\mathbf{b}$, then for all sufficiently large n, x is contained in exactly two puzzle pieces of level n. Otherwise, x is contained in a unique puzzle piece of level n.*

Proof. We consider the following four cases:

i) x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$.
ii) There exists a unique bubble $B \subset \mathbf{B}$ such that $x \in \partial B$.
iii) x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_B}$.
iv) Otherwise.

Case i) Suppose that x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$. By proposition 5.3, there exist exactly two bubbles B_1 and B_2 which contain x in their boundary. Moreover, we have $\{x\} = \overline{B_1} \cap \overline{B_2}$. Note that B_1 and B_2 are eventually mapped to $\mathbf{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_0$ under $f_{\mathbf{B}}$. Hence, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > m$, $B_1 \cup B_2 \subset \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_n$.

Let $n > m$. $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_n$ contains finitely many bubble rays whose landing points are all distinct from x. Thus, we can choose a sufficiently small disc D centered at x such that $D \cap \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_n \subset (\overline{B_1} \cup \overline{B_2})$. This implies that $D \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_n)$ has two connected components. Observe that every puzzle piece of level n that contains x must contain exactly one of the components of $D \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_n)$. The result follows.

Case ii) The proof is completely analogous to Case i).

Case iii) Suppose x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_B}$. Note that $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_0$ is the only bubble ray which lands on $\mathbf{k_B}$. Hence, x is the landing point of a single bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_t$ where $t = \frac{i}{2^m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^m - 1\}$.

Observe that for all $n \geq m$, x is contained in the puzzle partition $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_n$ of level n. This implies that if x is contained in some puzzle piece $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$ of level n, then x is contained in its boundary. The boundary of $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_{t_1} \cup \mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{t_1} \cup \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_{t_2} \cup \mathcal{R}^{\infty}_{t_2}$. It follows from our previous remark that $t_1 = \frac{i}{2^m}$ or $t_2 = \frac{i}{2^m}$. Therefore, $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[\frac{2^n - m i - 1}{2^n}, \frac{i}{2^m}]}$ and $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[\frac{i}{2^m}, \frac{2^n - m i + 1}{2^n}]}$ are the only two puzzle pieces of level n which contain x.

Case iv) If x is not contained in the boundary of any bubble, and x is not an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_B}$, then x is disjoint from every puzzle partition. Hence, x must be contained in a unique component of its complement. □

Corollary 6.5. *Let $x \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$. If x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_B}$ or $\mathbf{b}$, then there are exactly two maximal nested puzzle sequences whose limit is equal to $\{x\}$. Otherwise, there is a unique maximal nested puzzle sequence whose limit is equal to $\{x\}$.*

Proposition 6.6. *Let $x \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$. If x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{b}$, then x is biaccessible. Otherwise, x is uniaccessible.*

Proof. This follows immediately from proposition 6.3 and corollary 6.5. □

6.2. **For the Siegel polynomial.**

Consider the Siegel polynomial $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ discussed in section 5.2. By definition, the bubble ray $\mathcal{R}_0^{\mathbf{B}}$ and the external ray $\mathcal{R}_0^{\infty}$ both land at the same point $\mathbf{k_S} \in \mathbb{C}$. A ***puzzle partition*** $\mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbf{S}}$, a ***puzzle piece*** $P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$, and a ***nested puzzle sequence*** $\Pi_t^{\mathbf{S}}$ for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ are defined in the same way as their counterparts for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$.



FIGURE 10. The puzzle partition of level 2 and 3 for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$.

The following two results are analogs of proposition 6.3 and 6.4. The proofs are identical, and hence, they will be omitted here.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $\Pi_t^{\mathbf{S}} := \{P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a nested puzzle sequence. Then*

$$L(\Pi_t^{\mathbf{S}}) = \mathcal{R}_t^{\infty} \cup \{x\},$$

where $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$ is the landing point of $\mathcal{R}_t^{\infty}$.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$. If x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_S}$ or 0, then for all sufficiently large n, x is contained in exactly two puzzle pieces of level n. Otherwise, x is contained in a unique puzzle piece of level n.*

Corollary 6.9. *Let $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$. If x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_S}$ or 0, then there are exactly two maximal nested puzzle sequences whose limit is equal to $\{x\}$. Otherwise, there exists a unique maximal nested puzzle sequence whose limit is equal to $\{x\}$.*

Proposition 6.10. *Let $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$. If x is an iterated preimage of 0, then x is biaccessible. Otherwise, x is uniaccessible.*

Proof. This follows immediately from proposition 6.7 and corollary 6.9. □

6.3. **For the candidate mating.**

Consider the quadratic rational function R_ν constructed in theorem 4.5.

Proposition 6.11. *Let $\mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{R}_t^{\mathcal{B}}$ or $\mathcal{R}_t^{\mathcal{S}}$ be an infinite bubble ray. If t is rational, then $\mathcal{R}_t$ lands. If t is p-periodic, then $\mathcal{R}_t$ lands at a repelling p-periodic point.*

Proof. Let Λ be the post critical set for R_ν, and let Ω be the set of cluster points for $\mathcal{R}_t$. Observe that

$$R_\nu^p : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus R_\nu^{-p}(\Lambda \cup \Omega) \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\Lambda \cup \Omega)$$

is a covering of hyperbolic spaces. Moreover, since $\Omega \cup \Lambda \subsetneq R_\nu^{-p}(\Omega \cup \Lambda)$, the inclusion map

$$\iota : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus R_\nu^{-p}(\Lambda \cup \Omega) \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\Lambda \cup \Omega)$$

is a strict contraction in the hyperbolic metric. Hence, the map $\iota \circ R_\nu^{-p}$ lifts to the universal cover $\mathbb{D}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\Lambda \cup \Omega)$ to a map

$$\hat{R}_\nu^{-p} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$$

which is also a strict contraction in the hyperbolic metric.

Now, choose a bubble $U \subset \mathcal{R}_t$ such that $\mathrm{gen}(U) > 1$, and let x_0 be a point contained in U. For every $k \geq 1$, there exists a unique point $x_k \in \mathcal{R}_t$ such that $R_\nu^{kp}(x_k) = x_0$. Let $\gamma_0 \subset \mathcal{R}_t$ be a curve from x_0 to x_1, and let γ_k be the unique component of $R_\nu^{-kp}(\gamma_0)$ whose end points are x_k and x_{k+1}.

By the strict contraction property of $\hat{R}_\nu^p$, the hyperbolic lengths of γ_n must go to zero as n goes to infinity. Hence, if $z \in \Omega$, then for any neighbourhood N of z, there exists a smaller neighbourhood $N' \subset N$ such that if $\gamma_n \cap N' \neq \varnothing$, then $\gamma_n \subset N$. In other words, $R_\nu^p(N) \cap N \neq \varnothing$. Since this is true for all neighbourhood of z, z must be a fixed point for R_ν^p.

The set of fixed points for R_ν^p is discrete. Since Ω is connected, this implies that Ω must be equal to the single point set $\{z\}$. By Snail lemma (see e.g. [M1]), we conclude that z is a repelling fixed point.

If t is strictly preperiodic, then $\mathcal{R}_t$ is the preimage of some periodic infinite bubble ray. The result follows. □

Proposition 6.12. *The bubble rays $\mathcal{R}_0^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0^{\mathcal{S}}$ for R_ν both land at the same repelling fixed point $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The quadratic rational map R_ν has exactly three fixed points, two of which must be the Siegel fixed point 0 and the repelling fixed point β. Clearly, a bubble ray cannot land on 0, so it suffices to prove that a fixed bubble ray cannot land on β.

Let D be a sufficiently small disc centered at β such that R_ν is conformal on D. The set $D \cap (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup R_\nu(\mathcal{B}_\infty)})$ has two connected components D_1 and D_2 such that $D_1 \subset R_\nu(D_2)$ and $D_2 \subset R_\nu(D_1)$. Suppose $\mathcal{R}$ is a bubble ray that lands on β. Then $\mathcal{R}$ must be disjoint from either D_1 or D_2. Hence, $\mathcal{R}$ cannot be fixed. □

Define the ***puzzle partition of level*** n for R_ν by

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \overline{R_\nu^{-n}(\mathcal{R}_0^{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{R}_0^{\mathcal{S}})}.$$

Note that the puzzle partitions form a nested sequence: $\mathcal{P}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_2 \dots$. A ***puzzle piece of level*** n is the closure of a connected component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{P}_n$. By construction, a puzzle piece of level n is mapped homeomorphically onto a puzzle piece of level $n-1$ by R_ν.

Let P be a puzzle piece of level n. Then P is bounded by two pairs of bubble rays: $\mathcal{R}_{s_1}^{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{s_2}^{\mathbf{B}}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{t_1}^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{t_2}^{\mathcal{S}}$, where $s_1 = \frac{i}{2^n}$, $s_2 = \frac{i+1}{2^n}$, $t_1 = \frac{j}{2^n}$ and $t_2 = \frac{j+1}{2^n}$ for some $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$. The closed intervals $[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n}]$ and $[\frac{j}{2^n}, \frac{j+1}{2^n}]$ are referred to as the ***angular span of*** P ***with respect to*** $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ respectively.

Proposition 6.13. *Consider the maps $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}} : \mathbf{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}} : \mathbf{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ defined in proposition 5.11. Let P be a puzzle piece of level n for R_ν whose angular span with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are equal to $[s_1, s_2]$ and $[t_1, t_2]$ respectively. Then $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ restricts to a map between $\mathbf{B} \cap P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$ and $\mathcal{B} \cap P$. Likewise, $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ restricts to a map between $\mathbf{S} \cap P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[t_1,t_2]}$ and $\mathcal{S} \cap P$.*

Proof. Let $B \not\subset \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbf{B}}$ be a bubble in $\mathbf{B}$ and let $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}} \sim \{B_i\}_{i=0}^m$ be the unique finite bubble ray for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ such that $B_m = B$. The corresponding finite bubble ray for R_ν is $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}} \sim \{\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(B_i)\}_{i=0}^m$. Suppose k is the largest value of i such that $B_i \subset \mathcal{P}_n^{\mathbf{B}}$. Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ extends to a homeomorphism between $\overline{B_k}$ and $\overline{\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(B_k)}$, it must preserve the cyclic order of the roots of bubbles contained in ∂B. Thus, we see that $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(B_{k+1}) \subset P_{[s_1,s_2]}$ if and only if $B_{k+1} \subset P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$. This readily implies that $\bigcup_{i=k+1}^m \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(B_i) \subset P_{[s_1,s_2]}$ if and only if $\bigcup_{i=k+1}^m B_i \subset P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$.

The proof is completely analogous for bubbles in $\mathbf{S}$. □

Corollary 6.14. *Let P be a puzzle piece of level n, whose angular span with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ is equal to $[s_1, s_2]$ and $[t_1, t_2]$ respectively. If $s \in [s_1, s_2]$, then the accumulation set of $\mathcal{R}_s^{\mathcal{B}}$ is contained in P. Likewise, if $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, then the accumulation set of $\mathcal{R}_t^{\mathbf{S}}$ is contained in P.*

Proposition 6.15. *Let P be a puzzle piece of level n for R_ν whose angular span with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are equal to $[s_1, s_2]$ and $[t_1, t_2]$ respectively. Then $[s_1, s_2] = [t_1, t_2]$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. The case $n = 0$ follows from proposition 6.12. Assume that the statement is true for n. We need to check that it is also true for $n + 1$.

We have $s_1 = \frac{i}{2^n}$ and $s_2 = \frac{i+1}{2^n}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$. Let $s = \frac{2i+1}{2^{n+1}}$. Consider the puzzle pieces $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$ and $P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$ for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ respectively. Among all the bubble rays contained in the puzzle partition $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{B}}_{n+1}$ for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$, only $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_s$ lands in the interior of $P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$. Similarly, among all the bubble rays contained in the puzzle partition $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{S}}_{n+1}$ for $f_{\mathbf{S}}$, only $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_s$ lands in the interior of $P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_1,s_2]}$. It follows from lemma 6.13 that $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}}_s = \Phi^{-1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{B}}_s)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_s = \Phi^{-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_s)$ are the only two bubble rays contained in the puzzle partition $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ for R_ν that land in the interior of P. This implies that $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{B}}_s$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_s$ must land at the same point. It is not difficult to see from this that the claim must be true for puzzle pieces of level $n + 1$. □

By virtue of proposition 6.15, the angular span of a puzzle piece P with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{S}$ will henceforth be referred to as simply the ***angular span of*** P. Furthermore, a puzzle piece for R_ν with angular span $[t_1, t_2]$ will be denoted $P_{[t_1,t_2]}$.

A ***nested puzzle sequence*** Π_t for R_ν is defined in the same way as its counterpart for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$. We say that Π_t ***shrinks to*** x if its limit $L(\Pi_t)$ is equal to $\{x\}$.

Proposition 6.16. *Let $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a nested puzzle sequence, and let $\hat{\Pi}_t = \{P_{[r_k,u_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the unique maximal nested puzzle sequence containing Π_t. Then Π_t shrinks to a point $x \in J(R_\nu)$ if and only if $\hat{\Pi}_t$ does.*

The following result can be proved the same way as proposition 6.4.

Proposition 6.17. *Let $x \in J(R_\nu)$. If x is an iterated preimage of κ, β or 1, then for all sufficiently large n, x is contained in exactly two puzzle pieces of level n. Otherwise, x is contained in a unique puzzle piece of level n.*

Corollary 6.18. *Let $x \in J(R_\nu)$. If x is an iterated preimage of κ, β or 1, then there are exactly two maximal nested puzzle sequences whose limit contains x. Otherwise, there is exactly one maximal nested puzzle sequence whose limit contains x.*

Proposition 6.19. *Suppose $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup R_\nu(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \cup \mathcal{S}_0)$ is a non-recurring closed set (that is, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R_\nu^n(X) \cap X = \varnothing$). Then the set $\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup R_\nu(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup X$ is disconnected.*



FIGURE 11. The puzzle partition of level 2 and 3 for R_ν.

Proof. Suppose towards a contradiction that $\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup R_\nu(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup X$ is connected. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup X$ is connected.

Observe that $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup X \cup R_\nu^2(X))$ is disconnected, and that at least one of its components intersects $\partial\mathcal{S}_0$ but does not intersect $\partial R_\nu(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$. Denote this component by P.

Since X is non-recurring, observe that $R_\nu^{2n+1}(X) \cap P = \varnothing$ for all $n \geq 0$. Choose a point $x_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_0} \cap R_\nu(X)$. Since the orbit of x_1 under R_ν^2 is dense in $\partial\mathcal{S}_0$, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that $R_\nu^{2N+1}(x_1) \in \partial\mathcal{S}_0 \cap P$. This is a contradiction. □

Proposition 6.20. *Let $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a nested puzzle sequence for R_ν. Its limit $L(\Pi_t)$ cannot intersect the boundary of bubbles from both $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $L(\Pi_t)$ intersects the boundary of bubbles from both $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. By considering its image under a large enough iterate of R_ν, we may assume that $L(\Pi_t)$ intersects the boundary of $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{S}_0$.

Observe that the limit set of any nested puzzle sequence is either pre-periodic or non-recurrent. Since $L(\Pi_t)$ contains a point in $\partial\mathcal{S}_0$, it must be non-recurrent. It is also easy to see that $L(\Pi_t)$ must be closed, connected and contained in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\infty \cup R_\nu(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \cup \mathcal{S}_0)$. This contradicts proposition 6.19. □

The following result is proved in the next two sections.

Theorem 6.21 (the Shrinking Theorem)**.** *Every nested puzzle sequence for R_ν shrinks to a point.*

7. A Priori Bounds for Critical Circle Maps

A C^2 homeomorphism $f : S^1 \to S^1$ is called a ***critical circle map*** if it has a unique critical point $c \in S^1$ of cubic type. Let $\rho = \rho(f)$ be the rotation number of f. In this section, f will be analytic, and ρ will be irrational.

The rotation number ρ can be represented as an infinite continued fraction:

$$\rho = [a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : \dots] = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}.$$

The n***th partial convergent of*** ρ is the rational number

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_1 : \dots : a_n].$$

The sequence of denominators $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ represent the ***closest return times*** of the orbit of any point to itself. It satisfies the following inductive relation:

$$q_{n+1} = a_n q_n + q_{n-1}.$$

Let $\Delta_n \subset S^1$ be the closed arc containing c with end points at $f^{q_n}(c)$ and $f^{q_{n+1}}(c)$. Δ_n can be expressed as the union of two closed arcs A_n and A_{n+1}, where A_n is the closed arc with end points at c and $f^{q_n}(c)$. A_n is called the n***th critical arc***. The q_nth iterated preimage of A_n under f is denoted by A_{-n}. The set of closed arcs

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{S^1} = \{A_n, f(A_n), \dots, f^{q_{n+1}-1}(A_n)\} \cup \{A_{n+1}, f(A_{n+1}), \dots, f^{q_n-1}(A_{n+1})\},$$

which are disjoint except at the end points, is a partition of S^1. $\mathcal{P}_n^{S^1}$ is called the ***dynamical partition of level*** n. The following is an important estimate regarding dynamical partitions due to Swiątek and Herman (see [Sw]):

Theorem 7.1 (Real *a priori* bounds)**.** *Let $f : S^1 \to S^1$ be a critical circle map with an irrational rotation number ρ. Then for all n sufficiently large, every pair of adjacent atoms in $\mathcal{P}_n^{S^1}$ have K-commensurate diameters for some universal constant $K > 1$.*

Below, we present an adaptation of complex a priori bounds of [Y1] (see also [YZ]) to our setting.

Consider the quadratic rational function R_ν discussed in section 5.3 and 6. Denote the Siegel disc for R_ν by $\mathcal{S}_0$. By theorem 4.5, there exist a Blaschke product F_ν and a quasiconformal map $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{D} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$ such that

$$R_\nu(z) = \phi \circ F_\nu \circ \phi^{-1}(z)$$

for all $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$.

Since $\{\infty, R_\nu(\infty)\}$ is a superattracting 2-periodic orbit for R_ν, $\{\infty, F_\nu(\infty)\}$ and $\{0, F_\nu(0)\}$ are superattracting 2-periodic orbits for F_ν. Denote the bubble (connected

component of the Fatou set) for F_ν containing 0 and ∞ by $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ respectively. Note that by theorem 4.4, the restriction of F_ν to S^1 is a critical circle map.

A ***puzzle piece of level*** n ***for*** F_ν is the image of a puzzle piece of level n for R_ν under ϕ^{-1}. The n***th critical puzzle piece***, denoted P_n^{crit}, is defined inductively as follows:

(i) P_0^{crit} is the puzzle piece of level 1 which contains the first critical arc A_1.
(ii) P_n^{crit} is the puzzle piece which contains the preimage arc A_{-n}, and is mapped homeomorphically onto P_{n-1}^{crit} by $F_\nu^{q_n}$.

Observe that $\Pi_{\text{even}} := \{P_{2n}^{crit}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\Pi_{\text{odd}} := \{P_{2n+1}^{crit}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ form two disjoint nested puzzle sequences for F_ν at the critical point 1.



FIGURE 12. The 0th and 1st critical puzzle piece for F_ν.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty \cup F_\nu(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ be the immediate attracting basin of the superattracting 2-periodic orbit $\{\infty, F_\nu(\infty)\}$ for F_ν. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, the nth critical puzzle piece P_n^{crit} is disjoint from the closure of $\mathcal{A}_\infty \cup F_\nu(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from proposition 6.20. □

Theorem 7.3. *For all n sufficiently larger than the constant N in lemma 7.2, we have the following inequality:*

$$\frac{\operatorname{diam}(P_n^{crit})}{\operatorname{diam}(A_{-n})} \leq C_1 \sqrt[3]{\frac{\operatorname{diam}(P_{n-1}^{crit})}{\operatorname{diam}(A_{-(n-1)})}} + C_2,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are universal constants.

Proof. Similarly to [YZ], we first lift a suitable inverse branch of F_ν to the universal covering space.

Define the exponential map $\operatorname{Exp} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\operatorname{Exp}(z) := e^{2\pi i z}.$$

Let $I = (\tau - 1, \tau) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval such that $0 \in I$, and

$$\operatorname{Exp}(\tau) = \operatorname{Exp}(\tau - 1) = F_\nu(1).$$

Let

$$\operatorname{Log} : S^1 \setminus \{F_\nu(1)\} \to I$$

be the inverse of Exp restricted to I. The nth critical interval is defined as

$$I_n := \operatorname{Log}(A_n).$$

Denote the component of $\operatorname{Exp}^{-1}(P_n^{crit})$ intersecting I by $\hat{P}_n^{crit}$.

Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \overline{\mathcal{A}_0 \cup F_\nu(\mathcal{A}_0) \cup \mathcal{A}_\infty \cup F_\nu(\mathcal{A}_\infty)},$$

and let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the universal covering space of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ with the covering map $\operatorname{Exp}|_S : S \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. For any given interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$S_J := (S \setminus \mathbb{R}) \cup J.$$

The restriction of the map F_ν to S^1 is a homeomorphism, and hence, has an inverse. We define a lift $\phi : I \to I$ of $(F_\nu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}})^{-1}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \operatorname{Log} \circ F_\nu^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Exp}(x).$$

Note that ϕ is discontinuous at $\operatorname{Log}(F_\nu^2(1))$, which is mapped to $\tau - 1$ and τ by ϕ. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the combinatorics of critical circle maps, the kth iterate of ϕ on I_n is continuous for all $1 \leq k \leq q_n$. By monodromy theorem, ϕ^k extends to a conformal map on S_{I_n}.

For $z \in S_J$, let l_z and r_z be the line segment connecting z to $\tau - 1$ and z to τ respectively. The smaller of the outer angles formed between l_z and $(-\infty, \tau - 1)$, and r_z and $(\tau, +\infty)$ is denoted $\widehat{(z, J)}$.



FIGURE 13. Illustration of $\widehat{(z, J)} = \min(\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Denote the hyperbolic distance in S_J by dist_{S_J}. A hyperbolic neighbourhood $\{z \in S_J \mid \text{dist}_{S_J}(z, J)\}$ of J forms an angle $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ with $\mathbb{R}$. Denote this neighbourhood by $G_\theta(J)$. Observe that $G_\theta(J) \subset \{z \in S_J \mid \widehat{(z, J)} > \theta\}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $E_n \subset S^1$ as the open arc containing 1 with end points at $F_\nu^{q_{n+1}}(1)$, and $F_\nu^{q_n - q_{n+1}}(1)$. Observe that E_n contains the critical arcs A_n and A_{n+1}. Define

$$G_\theta^n := G_\theta(\text{Log}(E_n)).$$

Consider the constant N in lemma 7.2. Since $P_N^{crit} \cup P_{N+1}^{crit}$ is disjoint from the closure of $\mathcal{A}$, it is contained in some annulus $E \Subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Let $\breve{S} \Subset S$ be the universal cover of E with the covering map $\text{Exp}|_{\breve{S}}$. Choose θ such that $\hat{P}_{N+2}^{crit} \cup \hat{P}_{N+3}^{crit} \subset G_\theta^{N+1}$. Then we have $\hat{P}_n^{crit} \subset G_\theta^{N+1}$ for all $n \geq N + 3$.

Now, suppose we are given $n \geq N + 3$. Let

$$J_0 := I_n, J_{-1} := \phi(J_0), \ldots, J_{-q_n} := \phi^{q_n}(I_n), \tag{7.1}$$

be the orbit of I_n under ϕ. Given any point $z_0 \in S_{J_0}$, let

$$z_0, z_{-1} := \phi(z_0), \ldots, z_{-q_n} := \phi^{q_n}(z_0), \tag{7.2}$$



FIGURE 14. Illustration of the hyperbolic neighbourhood $G_\theta(J)$.

be the orbit of z_0 under ϕ.

The following three lemmas are adaptations of lemma 2.1, 4.2 and 4.4 in [Y1] and lemma 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 in [YZ]:

Lemma 7.4. *Consider the orbit* (7.2). *Let* $k \leq q_n - 1$. *Assume that for some* i *between* 0 *and* k, $z_i \in \breve{S}$, *and* $\widehat{(z_{-i}, J_{-i})} > \epsilon$. *Then we have*

$$\frac{dist(z_{-k}, J_{-k})}{|J_{-k}|} \leq C \frac{dist(z_{-i}, J_{-i})}{|J_{-i}|}$$

for some constant $C = C(\epsilon, \breve{S}) > 0$.

Lemma 7.5. *Let* J *and* J' *be two consecutive returns of the orbit* (7.1) *of* J_0 *to* I_m *for* $1 < m < n$, *and let* ζ *and* ζ' *be the corresponding points of the inverse orbit* (7.2). *If* $\zeta \in G_\theta^m$, *then either* $\zeta' \in G_\theta^m$ *or* $\widehat{(\zeta', J')} > \epsilon$ *and* $dist(\zeta', J') < C|I_m|$, *where the constants* ϵ *and* C *are independent of* m.

Lemma 7.6. *Let* J *be the last return of the orbit* (7.1) *to the interval* I_m *preceding the first return to* I_{m+1} *for* $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, *and let* J' *and* J'' *be the first two returns to* I_{m+1}. *Let* ζ, ζ' *and* ζ'' *be the corresponding points in the inverse orbit*

(7.2), *so that* $\zeta' = \phi^{q_m}(\zeta)$ *and* $\zeta'' = \phi^{q_{m+2}}(\zeta')$. *Suppose that* $\zeta \in G_\theta^m$. *Then either* $\widehat{(\zeta'', I_{m+1})} > \epsilon$ *and* $dist(\zeta'', J'') < C|I_{m+1}|$, *or* $\zeta'' \in G_\theta^{m+1}$, *where the constants* ϵ *and* C *are independent of* m.

The interested reader can follow the proofs of lemma 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6, and the rest of the proof of theorem 7.3 in [YZ] *mutatis mutandis*. □

Corollary 7.7. *For all* n *sufficiently larger than the constant* N *in lemma 7.2,* $\operatorname{diam}(P_n^{crit})$ *is* K*-commensurate to* $\operatorname{diam}(A_{-n})$ *for some universal constant* $K > 1$. *Consequently,* $\operatorname{diam}(P_n^{crit}) \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to show that any sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying the relation

$$a_n \leq C_1 \sqrt[3]{a_{n-1}} + C_2$$

for all n is bounded.

Consider the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined inductively by

i) $b_0 = \max(1, a_0)$,
ii) $b_n = C\sqrt[3]{b_{n-1}}$,

where C is chosen so that

$$C\sqrt[3]{k} \geq C_1\sqrt[3]{k} + C_2$$

for all $k \geq 1$. It is easy to see that $b_n \geq a_n$ for all n.

A straightforward computation shows that

$$b_n = C^{1+\frac{1}{3}+\ldots+\frac{1}{3^{n-1}}} \sqrt[3^{n-1}]{b_0} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} C^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Hence, $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and therefore, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are bounded. □

The following result we record for later use:

Lemma 7.8. *For all* n *sufficiently large, the* n*th critical puzzle piece* P_n^{crit} *contains a Euclidean disc* D_n *such that* $\operatorname{diam}(D_n)$ *is* K*-commensurate to* $\operatorname{diam}(P_n^{crit})$ *for some universal constant* $K > 1$.

Proof. Let D_1 be a disc centered at 1 such that $F_\nu^{q_n}(1) \in \partial D_1$. The map $F_\nu^{q_n}|_{A_n}$ has a well defined inverse branch which extends to D_1. Denote this inverse branch by ψ_n. As a consequence of real a priori bounds, we have the following estimate:

$$\frac{1}{|K_1|} \leq |\psi_n'(1)| \leq |K_1|,$$

where K_1 is some universal constant independent of n.

Observe that the preimage of $\mathbb{D}$ under F_ν consists of two connected components $U_{\text{in}} \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $U_{\text{out}} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Moreover, $\overline{U_{\text{in}}} \cap \overline{U_{\text{out}}} = \{1\}$. It is not difficult to see that ψ_n extends to U_{out}, and that $\psi_n(U_{\text{out}}) \subset P_n^{crit}$.

Now, choose a subdisc $D_2 \subset D_1 \cap U_{\text{out}}$ such that the annulus $A = D_1 \setminus \overline{D_2}$ satisfies the following estimate

$$\frac{1}{|K_2|} \leq \text{mod}(A) \leq |K_2|,$$

for some universal constant K_2 independent of n. By Koebe distortion theorem, ψ_n has uniformly bounded distortion on D_2. Since $\psi_n(D_2) \subset \psi_N(U_{\text{out}}) \subset P_n^{crit}$, the result follows. $\square$

8. The Proof of the Shrinking Theorem

We are ready to prove the shrinking theorem stated at the end of section 6. The proof will be split into three propositions.

Proposition 8.1. *If Π_t is a nested puzzle sequence such that $L(\Pi_t)$ contains β or κ, then Π_t shrink to a point.*

Proof. We prove the result in the case where $L(\Pi_t)$ contains κ. The proof of the other case is similar.

Since $L(\Pi_t)$ contains κ, it follows that $t = 0$. Observe that $L(\Pi_0)$ is invariant under R_ν. Hence, $L(\Pi_0) \cap \partial\mathcal{S}_0 = \varnothing$.

Let D_r be a disc of radius $r > 0$ centered at κ. Since κ is a repelling fixed point, if r is sufficiently small, then D_r is mapped into itself by an appropriate inverse branch of R_ν. This inverse branch extends to a map $g : N \to N$, where N is a neighbourhood of $L(\Pi_0)$ which is disjoint from $\partial\mathcal{S}_0$, and therefore the closure of the post critical set for R_ν.

Any set compactly contained within N converges to κ under iteration of R_ν. It follows that $L(\Pi_0) = \{\kappa\}$. □

For the proof of the remaining two propositions, it will be more convenient for us to work with the Blaschke product F_ν rather than R_ν itself. It is clear from the definition that a nested puzzle sequence for R_ν shrinks if and only if the corresponding nested puzzle sequence for F_ν shrinks.

Proposition 8.2. *If Π_t is a nested puzzle sequence such that $1 \in L(\Pi_t)$, then Π_t shrink to 1*

Proof. Recall the definition of critical puzzle pieces $\{P_n^{crit}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for F_ν in section 7. Let $\hat{\Pi}_{\text{even}}$ and $\hat{\Pi}_{\text{odd}}$ be the maximal nested puzzle sequence containing $\{P_{2n}^{crit}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{P_{2n+1}^{crit}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ respectively. Corollary 7.7 and proposition 6.16 imply that $\hat{\Pi}_{\text{even}}$ and $\hat{\Pi}_{\text{odd}}$ both shrink to 1. By proposition 6.18, there is no other maximal nested puzzle sequence at 1. □

For the proof of the final proposition, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a rational map of degree $d > 1$. Let $\{(f|_U)^{-n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a family of univalent inverse branches of f restricted to a domain U. Suppose $U \cap J(f) \neq \varnothing$. If $V \Subset U$, then*

$$\operatorname{diam}((f|_U)^{-n}(V)) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 8.4. *Let z_0 be a point in the Julia set $J(R_\nu)$ which is not an iterated preimage of κ, β or 1. If Π_t is a nested puzzle sequence such that $z_0 \in L(\Pi_t)$, then Π_t shrinks to z_0.*

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{O} = \{z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

be the forward orbit of z_0 under F_ν. The proof splits into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose there exists some critical puzzle piece P_M^{crit} such that

$$\mathcal{O} \cap P_M^{crit} = \varnothing.$$

Let z_∞ be an accumulation point of $\mathcal{O}$, and let P^∞ be the puzzle piece of level M containing z_∞. Observe that the orbit of the critical point 1 is dense in $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Hence, P^∞ must be disjoint from $\partial\mathbb{D}$, since otherwise, P^∞ would map into P_M^{crit} by some appropriate inverse branch of F_ν.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be a neighbourhood of P^∞, and choose a subsequence of orbit points $\{z_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ from $\mathcal{O}$ such that $z_{n_k} \in P^\infty$. For each k, let

$$g_k : U \to \mathbb{C}$$

be the inverse branch of $F_\nu^{n_k}$ that maps z_{n_k} to z_0. Since P^∞ intersects the Julia set for F_ν, the nested puzzle sequence

$$\Pi := \{g_k(P^\infty)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$$

must shrink to z_0 by lemma 8.3.

Case 2. Suppose the critical point 1 is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{O}$. Then there exists an increasing sequence of numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\mathcal{O} \cap P_{n_k}^{crit} \neq \varnothing.$$

Fix k, and let z_{m_k} be the first orbit point that enters the critical puzzle piece $P_{n_k}^{crit}$. Let

$$P^{-n} \subset F_\nu^{-n}(P_{n_k}^{crit}) \tag{8.1}$$

be the nth pull back of $P_{n_k}^{crit}$ along the orbit

$$z_0 \mapsto z_1 \mapsto \ldots \mapsto z_{m_k}. \tag{8.2}$$

Suppose that P^{-n} intersects 1 for some $n > 0$. Then for all $m \leq n$, P^{-m} must intersect $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Recall that $P_{n_k}^{crit}$ contains the the preimage arc A_{-n_k}. Hence, for every $m \leq n$, P^{-m} contains the mth preimage of A_{-n_k} under $F_\nu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$. By the combinatorics of critical circle maps, it follows that $P^{-q_{n_k}}$ must be the first puzzle piece in the backward orbit $\{P^{-1}, P^{-2}, \ldots, P^{-m_k}\}$ to intersect 1.

Since there are exactly two maximal nested puzzle sequences whose limit contains 1, all puzzle pieces of level $n > n_k + q_{n_k}$ which intersect 1 must be contained in either $P^{crit}_{n_k}$ or $P^{-q_{n_k}}$. Either case would contradict the fact that z_{m_k} is the first orbit point to enter $P^{crit}_{n_k}$. Therefore, P^{-n} does not intersect 1 for all $n \geq q_{n_k}$.

Let $m \leq m_k$ be the last moment when the backward orbit of $P^0 = P^{crit}_{n_k}$ intersect $\partial\mathbb{D}$. By theorem 7.1, corollary 7.7 and combinatorics of critical circle maps, the distance between P^{-m} and $F_\nu(1)$ is commensurate to $\operatorname{diam}(P^{-m})$. Hence, the distance between P^{-m-1} and 1 is commensurate to $\operatorname{diam}(P^{-m-1})$. Therefore, by theorem 7.1 and Koebe distortion theorem, the inverse branch of $F^{m_k}_\nu$ along the orbit (8.2) can be expressed as either

$$F^{-m_k}_\nu|_{P^{crit}_{n_k}} = \eta$$

if $1 \notin P_n$ for all $n > 0$, or

$$F^{-m_k}_\nu|_{P^{crit}_{n_k}} = \zeta_1 \circ Q \circ \zeta_2$$

if $1 \in P^{-q_{n_k}}$, where η, ζ_1 and ζ_2 are conformal maps with bounded distortion, and Q is a branch of the cubic root.

Now, by lemma 7.8, $P^{crit}_{n_k}$ contains a Euclidean disc D_{n_k} such that $\operatorname{diam}(D_{n_k})$ is commensurate to $\operatorname{diam}(P^{crit}_{n_k})$. The above argument implies that the puzzle piece P^{-m_k} must also contain a Euclidean disc D such that $\operatorname{diam}(D)$ is commensurate to $\operatorname{diam}(P^{-m_k})$. Hence, $\operatorname{diam}(P^{-m_k}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and the nested puzzle sequence

$$\Pi := \{P^{-m_k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$$

must shrink to z_0. □

As an application of the shrinking theorem, we prove that every infinite bubble ray for R_ν lands.

Proposition 8.5. *Every infinite bubble ray for R_ν lands.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}_t$ be an infinite bubble ray, and let Ω be its accumulation set. If t is a dyadic rational, then $\mathcal{R}_t$ lands on an iterated preimage of κ. Otherwise, there exists a unique nested maximal puzzle sequence $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with external angle equal to t. By corollary 6.14, Ω must be contained in $P_{[s_k,t_k]}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The result now follows from the shrinking theorem. □

9. The Proof of Conformal Mateability (Theorem B)

We are ready to prove that R_ν is a conformal mating of $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{S}}$. Recall the maps $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ in theorem 5.11 defined on the union of the closure of every bubble in $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ respectively. Our first task is to continuously extend $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ to the filled Julia sets $K_{\mathbf{B}} = \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ and $K_{\mathbf{S}} = \overline{\mathbf{S}}$. For brevity, we will limit our discussion to $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$. The map $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ can be extended in a completely analogous way.

Let $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{S}} : J_{\mathbf{S}} \to J(R_\nu)$ be the map defined as follows. For $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$, let $\Pi_t^{\mathbf{S}} = \{P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a maximal nested puzzle sequence whose limit contains x. By the shrinking theorem, the corresponding maximal nested puzzle sequence $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ for R_ν must shrink to a single point, say $y \in J(R_\nu)$. Define $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{S}}(x) := y$. We claim that $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{S}}$ is a continuous extension of $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ on $J_{\mathbf{S}}$.

Proposition 9.1. *Let $S \subset \mathbf{S}$ be a bubble. If $x \in \partial S$, then $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{S}}(x) = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x)$.*

Proof. Let $z := \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x)$. By the definition of puzzle partitions, $z \in P_{[s_k,t_k]}$ for all $k \geq 0$. The result follows. □

Proposition 9.2. *The map $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{S}} : J_{\mathbf{S}} \to J(R_\nu)$ is well defined.*

Proof. Suppose there are two maximal nested puzzle sequences at $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$. By proposition 6.9, x is either an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_S}$ or 0. The first case follows from corollary 6.14. The second case follows from proposition 9.1. □

Proposition 9.3. *Define $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x) := \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{S}}(x)$ for all $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$. The extended map $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}} : K_{\mathbf{S}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \subset K_{\mathbf{S}}$ is a sequence converging to $x \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$, then the sequence of image points $\{y_i = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x_i)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $y = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x)$. The proof splits into four cases:

i) x is an iterated preimage of 0.
ii) There exists a unique bubble $S \subset \mathbf{S}$ such that $x \in \partial S$.
iii) x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_S}$.
iv) Otherwise.

Case i) By proposition 5.6, there exist exactly two bubbles S_1 and S_2 which contain x in their boundary. Moreover, we have $\{x\} = \overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2}$. By proposition 9.1, any subsequence of $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ contained in $\overline{S_1} \cup \overline{S_2}$ is mapped under $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ to a sequence which converges to y. Hence, we may assume that x_i is not contained $\overline{S_1} \cup \overline{S_2}$ for all $i \geq 0$.

By proposition 6.9, there are exactly two maximal nested puzzle sequences $\Pi_t^{\mathbf{S}} = \{P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\Pi_v^{\mathbf{S}} = \{P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[u_k,v_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ whose limit contains x. Let $D_r(x)$ be a disc of radius $r > 0$ centered at x. For every k, we can choose $r_k > 0$ sufficiently small such that $D_{r_k}(x) \cap \mathcal{P}_k^{\mathbf{S}} = D_{r_k}(x) \cap (\overline{S_1} \cup \overline{S_2})$. Let $N_k \geq 0$ be large enough such that

$\{x_i\}_{i=N_k}^{\infty}$ is contained in $D_{r_k}(x)$. This implies that $\{x_i\}_{i=N_k}^{\infty} \subset P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]} \cup P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[u_k,v_k]}$. It is easy to see that the sequence of image points $\{y_i = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x_i)\}_{i=N_k}^{\infty}$ must be contained $P_{[s_k,t_k]} \cup P_{[u_k,v_k]}$. By proposition 9.2, $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\Pi_v = \{P_{[u_k,v_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ both converge to y, and the result follows.

Case ii) The proof is very similar to Case i), and hence, it will be omitted.

Case iii) Since x is an iterated preimage of $\mathbf{k_S}$, it must be the landing point of some bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_t$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a dyadic rational. By corollary 6.14, y is the landing point of the corresponding bubble ray $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_t$. Any subsequence of $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ contained in $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_t$ is mapped under $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ to a sequence in $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{S}}_t$ which converges to y. Hence, we may assume that x_i is not contained $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{S}}_t$ for all $i \geq 0$.

The remainder of the proof is very similar to Case i), and hence, it will be omitted.

Case iv) By proposition 6.9, there exists a unique maximal nested puzzle sequences $\Pi^{\mathbf{S}}_t = \{P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ whose limit contains x. Let $D_r(x)$ be a disc of radius $r > 0$ centered at x. Since x is not contained the puzzle partition $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{S}}_n$ of any level $n \geq 0$, it follows that for every $k \geq 0$, there exists $r_k > 0$ sufficiently small such that $D_r(x) \subset P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}$. Thus, there exists $N_k \geq 0$ such that $\{x_i\}_{i=N_k}^{\infty}$ is contained in $P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}$. It is easy to see that the sequence of image points $\{y_i = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(x_i)\}_{i=N_k}^{\infty}$ must be contained in the corresponding puzzle piece $P_{[s_k,t_k]}$ for R_ν. Since the nested puzzle sequence $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ must shrink to y, the result follows. □

Proposition 9.4. *Let $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and let $x \in J_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $y \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$ be the landing point of the external ray for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ with external angle $-t$ and t respectively. Then $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(x) = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(y)$.*

Proof. Consider the nested puzzle sequences $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t = \{P^{\mathbf{B}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\Pi^{\mathbf{S}}_t = \{P^{\mathbf{S}}_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\Pi_t = \{P_{[s_k,t_k]}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. By proposition 6.3 and 6.7, $\Pi^{\mathbf{B}}_t$ and $\Pi^{\mathbf{S}}_t$ shrink to x and y respectively. Let z be the point that Π_t shrinks to. By definition, $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(x) = z = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(y)$. □

Proof of theorem B.

We verify the conditions in proposition 1.1. Let $f_{c_1} = f_{\mathbf{B}}$, $f_{c_2} = f_{\mathbf{S}}$, $\Lambda_1 = \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$, $\Lambda_2 = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$, and $R = R_\nu$. Clearly, conditions (ii) and (iii) are satisfied. It remains to check condition (i).

Let $\tau_{\mathbf{B}} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to J_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{S}} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to J_{\mathbf{S}}$ be the Carathéodory loop for $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{S}}$ respectively (refer to section 1 for the definition of Carathéodory loop). Define

$\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}(t) := \tau_{\mathbf{B}}(-t)$. By proposition 9.4, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}} & J_{\mathbf{B}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{\mathbf{S}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}} \\ J_{\mathbf{S}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}} & J(R_\nu) \end{array}$$

It follows that if $z \sim_{ray} w$, then z and w are mapped to the same point under $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ or $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$.

To check the converse, it suffices to prove that for $z, w \in J_{\mathbf{S}}$, if $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(z) = \Phi_{\mathbf{S}}(w) = x \in J(R_\nu)$, then $z \sim_{ray} w$. First, observe that $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ maps iterated preimages of 0 homeomorphically onto the iterated preimages of 1. Similarly, $\Phi_{\mathbf{S}}$ maps iterated preimages of $\mathbf{k_S}$ homeomorphically onto the iterated preimages of κ. Now, by proposition 6.18, two distinct maximal nested sequences for R_ν shrink to x if and only if x is an iterated preimage of 1, κ or β. If x is an iterated preimage of 1 or κ, then z must be equal to w. If x is an iterated preimage of β, then $z \sim_{ray} w$. □

References

[AY] M. Aspenberg, M. Yampolsky, *Mating non-renormalizable quadratic polynomials*, Comm. Math. Phys. 287 (2009).

[BF] B. Branner, N. Fagella, *Quasiconformal Surgery in Holomorphic Dynamics*, Cam. Stud. in Adv. Math. (2014).

[Do] A. Douady, *Systéms dynamiques holomorphes*, Seminar Bourbaki, Astérisque, 105-106 (1983) 39-64.

[DH] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes I and II*, Pub. math. d'Orsay 84-02 et 85-05, (1984/1985).

[Du] D. Dudko, *Mating with Laminations*, arXiv:1112.4780.

[Ha] P. Ha¨ssinsky, *Chirurgie parabolique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 327 (1998), 195198.

[M1] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in One Complex Variable: Introductory Lectures 3rd edition*, Princeton University Press, (2006).

[M2] J. Milnor, *Periodic orbits, external rays, and the Mandelbrot set: An expository account*, Asterisque **261**, (1999).

[Mc] C. McMullen, *Complex Dynamics and Renormalization*, Annals of Math Studies, vol. 135, (1994).

[P] C. Petersen, *Local connectivity of some Julia sets containing a circle with an irrational rotation*, Preprint I.H.R.S., (1994).

[Re] M. Rees, *Realization of matings of polynomials as rational maps of degree two*, Manuscript, (1986).

[Tan] Tan, L. *Matings of quadratic polynomials*, Erg. Th. and Dyn. Sys. V 12, (1992), 589-620.

[S] C. L. Siegel, *Iteration of analytic functions*, Ann. of Math. **43**, 607-612, (1942).

[Shi] M. Shishikura, *On a theorem of M. Rees for matings of polynomials, The Mandelbrot set, Theme and variations*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 274, (2000).

[Sw] G. Swiątek, *Rational rotation numbers for maps of the circle*, Comm. Math. Phys., 119, (1988) 109-128.

[Y1] M. Yampolsky, *Complex bounds for renormalization of critical circle maps*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 18(1998), 1-31.

[Y2] M. Yampolsky, *Siegel disks and renormalization fixed points*, Fields Institute Communications, 53(2008).

[YZ] M. Yampolsky, S. Zakeri, *Mating Siegel quadratic polynomials*, Journ. Amer. Math. Soc., 14(2000), 25-78.